

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/782,563	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VEERARAGHAVAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Muktesh G. Gupta	<b>Art Unit</b> 2444	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Muktesh G. Gupta.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Rene Pereyra (45800).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 March 2010

**Time:** 1430

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

No

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*On authorization from SPE William Vaughn contacted applicant's Attorney for Examiner's Amendment to change computer storage media to computer readable Non-transitory media, to which Applicant's have not agreed as informed by Attorney on 03/11/2010.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)